Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/570,483	HARUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karin M. Reichle	3761	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

The MALING DATE of this communication appears	The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
The amendment document filed on <u>8-4-08 and 8-8-08</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.				
1. Amendments to the specification:	A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.			
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFFB. Other	R 1.72.			
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1 B. The practice of submitting proposed drawin	he top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or I.121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.			
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual state of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 				
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.			
correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the (including a submission for a request for continued exami amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked	policant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment cluding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental endment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>ayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the n-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.			
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.				
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an am filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or suppler amendment.				
/Karin M. Reichle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761				
10 D () T 1 1 000	B + (B - N - 00004000			

Continuation of 1(c) Other: The marked up specification, e.g. page 5, line 10, page 22, lines 15 and 18, and the abstract, do not show all the changes made to the existing text to arrive at the proposed text, e.g. the abstract shows language added as both added and deleted. The clean copy does not show the changes proposed by the marked-up text, see, e.g., page 1, line 5 of the clean copy and compare to same of marked-up copy.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: It is not clear whether on line 1 of claims 1 and 3-5, words are missing, spaces are being added, spaces are being deleted, etc. Compare to the other claims.